

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN EPPS,

Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-1047 MCE KJM P

vs.

TOM L. CAREY,

Respondent.

ORDER

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.

////

////

////

////

////

////

1                   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's February 10, 2006  
2 motion for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a  
3 later stage of the proceedings.

4 DATED: April 25, 2006.

5  
6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
9

10  
11 1/mp  
12 epps1047.110  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26